Digital Transformation and Intellectual Capital of Telecommunications Companies: An Econometric Assessment of the Impact on Operational Efficiency

Artem Okhrushchak[1]

[1]MBA, Postgraduate Student, European University
Kyiv, Ukraine

***Abstract*— This paper discusses how digital transformation and intellectual capital interact to affect the performance of telecommunications firms in a fast-changing digital world. The research problem is based on the lack of integration of these factors into a single empirical framework, in particular, cross-country settings with different degrees of digital maturity. This study offers an econometric evaluation of the relationships in detail using panel data of telecommunications companies in Ukraine, Germany, Poland, and Estonia during the period 2021-2025. The methodological approach based on panel data econometric model that incorporates both fixed and random effects, and an interaction term to capture the synergistic relationship between digital transformation and intellectual capital. The most important variables are a Digital Transformation Index, and the elements of intellectual capital, human, structural, and relational, and a list of control variables, including firm size, leverage, and macroeconomic conditions. Strong standard errors and diagnostic tests are used to assure the validity and reliability of the estimates. The empirical findings indicate that the positive and statistically significant impact of digital transformation on the operational efficiency is positive and statistically significant (coefficients of 0.198 to 0.284). Out of all the elements of intellectual capital, relational capital has the most significant influence, and then come human and structural capital. The interaction term proves the fact that the influence of digital transformation is enhanced in companies with a greater proportion of intellectual capital. Cross-country comparison indicates that Germany and Estonia have the highest level of efficiency and Ukraine has the fastest growth implying convergence dynamics. The research finds that digital transformation and intellectual capital are complimentary drivers of efficiency in telecommunications industry. The results add to the literature by proving a single analytic framework, as well as offering practical implications to the corporate strategy and policy development in the context of digital economic transformation.**

Keywords— digital transformation; intellectual capital; operational efficiency; telecommunications industry; panel data analysis; firm performance; emerging and advanced economies.

I. INTRODUCTION

The advent of digital technologies has fundamentally altered the telecommunications industry, transforming the traditional business models, business operations and value creation processes. Against the backdrop of growing international competition and rising demand of quality digital services, telecommunications companies are left with no option but to embark on comprehensive digital transformation plans. Simultaneously, the increasing significance of knowledge-based resources has placed intellectual capital, which implies human, structural, and relational components, in a crucial role in determining firm performance. This two-fold change, which is both technologically innovative and caused by the accumulation of intangible assets, is a characteristic of the modern development process of the telecommunications industry, which also explains the topicality of investigating their joint effect on the efficiency of operations.

Although there has been an increasing amount of literature on digital transformation and intellectual capital, a large gap in research remains on how the two are integrated to be assessed within a coherent econometric model, especially in the telecommunications industry. The existing literature tends to consider these factors separately or concentrate on particular aspects like technological adoption or human capital development, without reflecting on their interdependencies and cumulative impacts. In addition, there is a dearth of empirical data across various national conditions, particularly in comparative conditions that are both developed digital economies and countries with a structural transition. This has resulted in the necessity to adopt an analytical method that is comprehensive, considering the cross-country heterogeneity

ASEJ - Scientific Journal of Bielsko-Biala School of Finance and Law
Volume 30, No 1 (2026), pages 9
https://doi.org/10.19192/wsfip.sj1.2026.19
Received: November 2025, Accepted: March 2026,
Published: March 2026

and time dynamics.

The issue discussed in the present work is the lack of knowledge about the mechanism of interaction between digital transformation and intellectual capital and the extent to which they interact and produce synergistic effects. Specifically, the question remains open as to whether the investments into digital technologies are considered to be sufficient in increasing efficiency or whether their effectiveness is determined by the level and structure of intellectual capital in firms. The issue is critical in terms of both theoretical development and practical decision making as it gives information about the mechanisms through which intangible and technological resources lead to performance outcomes.

Through this problem statement, the study comes up with the following hypotheses: the digital transformation has a positive and statistically significant effect on the efficiency of operations; human capital, structural capital and relational capital have a positive and statistically significant effect on the performance of the firm. These hypotheses are based on resource-based and knowledge-based theoretical perspectives that discuss the strategic value of intangible resources and technological capabilities in creating competitive advantage.

This study seeks to carry out an econometric analysis of the effect of digital transformation and intellectual capital on the operational efficiency of telecommunications companies. To meet this objective, the following objectives are established: to come up with a comprehensive econometric model which integrates the variables of digital transformation and intellectual capital; to test the individual and interactive effects of these elements on the efficiency of operations; to analyze their dynamics in different countries and throughout the years; and to identify the structural patterns and cross-country variations in the telecommunications industry.

It is the scientific novelty of the study that the digital transformation and intellectual capital are combined into a single panel data econometric model, which makes it possible to identify both direct and interaction effects. In comparison to the past studies, the current research comes with a wider view of the processes behind efficiency in the telecommunications industry. Also, the multi-component approach to intellectual capital and the usage of the temporal dynamics helps to learn a subtler way of how technological and knowledge-based resources interplay over time. Not only do the findings add to the theoretical discourse, but they also present practical implications to the corporate strategy and the public policy in the context of digital economic transformation.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

The modern scholarly literature is becoming more and more focused on digital transformation as a key catalyst of enterprise growth, innovation, and performance, especially in technology-intensive industries, including telecommunications. An emerging literature points out that digital technologies not only transform the operation processes but also redefine the role of intangible assets, such as intellectual capital, in the creation of value. It is in this regard that the merging of digital transformation and intellectual capital becomes a key area of inquiry, particularly when it comes to the understanding of operational efficiency in dynamic and competitive environments.

The results of recent research confirm that digital transformation is a key factor in promoting innovation and sustainable progress. As an example, Man et al. (2025) state that digital technologies, along with an efficient management of intellectual property, can greatly contribute to sustainable innovation outcomes, and therefore, the management of intangible assets and digital capabilities are more than closely intertwined. In a similar fashion, Cen and Lin (2025) illustrate that digital transformation induces corporate innovation in small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs), and demonstrates that it is a catalyst of technological transformation and competitiveness. These results are in line with Buglea et al. (2025), who demonstrate that the digital transformation has a positive impact on non-financial indicators of the non-financial performance in the countries of Central and Eastern Europe.

Meanwhile, the literature also stresses that the success of digital transformation is dependent on contextual and structural factors. Diaz-Arancibia et al. (2024) offer a general overview of the technology adoption in developing countries, stating that institutional constraints, resource limitations, and organizational readiness contribute greatly to the outcome of digital transformation. This view is complemented by Huang and Tang (2025), who emphasize the strategic value of the digital platform in facilitating enterprise transformation, especially through the incorporation of data-driven decision making, and ecosystem-based business model. At the same time, Gkika et al. (2025) reveal that digital maturity is one of the key determinants of transformation success, with the emphasis on the role of organizational capabilities, leadership, and technological infrastructure.

The importance of intellectual capital in the process of digital transformation is becoming increasingly acknowledged as one of the critical success factors. De Martino et al. (2025) emphasize that skills, knowledge, and interoperability capabilities are essential to enable SMEs to make effective use of the digital technologies and, therefore, connect human and structural capital to digital performance. In addition, Zhang et al. (2025) also prove that digital transformation can increase the resilience of organizations by improving the capacity to innovate and adaptive response mechanisms, which are mediated by the components of intellectual capital. These results are consistent with the more general theoretical view that digital transformation and intellectual capital are mutually supporting, as opposed to independent, determinants of firm performance.

Moreover, the necessity to implement the digital transformation in the context of the larger economic and managerial systems is stressed in a number of studies. Mazur et al. (2023) emphasize that an effective management of the capital structure is associated with the support of the performance of the organization, which means that financial stability is one of the conditions that must be satisfied to be able

to invest in digital applications successfully. In a similar vein, Prokopenko et al. (2024) emphasize the significance of new innovative and sustainable business models, especially concerning the green entrepreneurship, where digital technologies help to develop socially responsible and environmentally sustainable economic frameworks. These viewpoints can be seen as an extension of the debate as they connect the digital transformation with the overall strategic and sustainability-focused goals.

Although there has been a considerable improvement in the literature, there are still several gaps. To begin with, most studies address one aspect of digital transformation, e.g. innovation, resilience, or digital platforms without integrating these dimensions into a single analytical framework. Second, there is scanty empirical evidence on the joint impact of digital transformation and intellectual capital on the efficiency of operations, especially across cross-country comparative contexts. Third, the sector-specific analysis that is part of the core operation of such industries as telecommunications and a strategic driver of competitiveness is lacking.

The current research, in comparison to the existing ones, contributes by explicitly incorporating the concepts of digital transformation and intellectual capital into a single econometric framework, which would allow the measurement of both direct and interaction effects. Though previous studies confirm that digital transformation has a positive impact on innovation and performance (Man et al., 2025; Cen and Lin, 2025; Buglea et al., 2025), this study expands on these results by showing that their effectiveness is significantly boosted when coupled with high intellectual capital. Furthermore, by including cross-country perspective, the study will help to understand how digital transformation is performed within various economic settings in a more comprehensive way than the results of previous studies (Díaz-Arancibia et al., 2024; Gkika et al., 2025) did.

In general, the literature indicates that digital transformation is a multidimensional process, which demands the alignment of the technological capabilities, organizational resources, and external conditions. Intellectual capital in this process is critical to making these processes to be sustainable and efficient. Nevertheless, the fact that empirical models that represent these complex interactions are still needed, which in turn justifies the relevance and contribution of the present study.

III. Materials and Methods

A. Research design

The methodological framework of the study is designed in such a way that it guarantees a systematic and rigorous evaluation of the relationship between digital transformation, intellectual capital and operational efficiency in telecommunications companies. The research design was be based on a multi-stage reasoning that consists of data gathering, variable construction, econometric modeling and validation processes. This can be done to capture the static and dynamic relationship and at the same time ensure the robustness and comparability of the results in different countries. Table 1 shows the order of the stages.

Table 1. Research Process Stages

Stage	Description
1	Collection and systematization of secondary data from telecommunications companies and international databases
2	Construction of variables and indices (Digital Transformation Index and Intellectual Capital components)
3	Econometric modeling using panel data methods (Fixed Effects, Random Effects, robustness checks)
4	Interpretation of results, comparative analysis, and validation of hypotheses

Source: author's development.

The initial step is the gathering of panel data by various sources in such a manner that cross-country comparability and temporal consistency are ensured. The second phase is concerned with converting raw data into analytically significant indicators, including composite indices which measure multidimensional constructs. The third phase involves the use of econometric tools to estimate the relationship among variables, and test the hypotheses proposed. The last stage is the synthesis of the empirical results, the comparison of the results and the assessment of the theoretical and practical implications of the results.

The chosen four-stage research process guarantee the methodological consistency and analytical complexity. It enables the combination of quantitative data with theoretical constructs, thus, increasing the explanatory strength of the model. Moreover, the systematic order reduces possible biases linked with the inconsistency of data and the misspecification of models. The fact that on the one hand, there are robustness checks, additionally supports the validity of the findings. On the whole, this methodological design offers a solid basis upon which the determination of complex relationships in a multi-country setting can be done.

B. Sample selection and observation period.

The study sample is the telecommunications companies that operated in Ukraine, Germany, Poland, and Estonia during 2021-2025. These countries are selected based on the fact that they have varying levels of digital maturity and institutional development, which is why it is possible to conduct a comparative analysis between advanced digital economies (Germany and Estonia) and transitioning markets (Ukraine and Poland). This heterogeneity is fundamental to the determination of convergence patterns as well as structural differences between the influence of digital transformation and intellectual capital. The selected time horizon encompasses a decisive moment of accelerated digitalization, which is predetermined by the recovery after the pandemic, the rapid implementation of 5G technologies, and the increased use of digital services. In addition, the period is also a good time to study the dynamic effects of efficiency, as there is a lot of structural changes in the telecommunications sector. The statistical power of the analysis improved with the use of panel data and has the ability of controlling the unobserved heterogeneity across firms and countries.

C. The econometric methodology

The econometric methodology is based on a panel data model that captures the influence of digital transformation and intellectual capital on operational efficiency. The baseline model is specified as:

$$OE_{it} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 DT_{it} + \beta_2 HC_{it} + \beta_3 SC_{it} + \beta_4 RC_{it} + \beta_5 X_{it} + \mu_i + \lambda_t + \varepsilon_{it} \quad (1)$$

where

- OE_{it} - represents operational efficiency,
- DT_{it} - denotes digital transformation,
- HC_{it}, SC_{it}, and RC_{it} - correspond to human, structural, and relational capital respectively,
- X_{it} - vector of control variables.
- μ_i and λ_t capture firm-specific and time-specific effects, while ε_{it} represents the error term,
- β_0 (constant/intercept) - baseline level of operational efficiency when all explanatory variables equal zero,
- β_1 *(DT)* - effect of digital transformation; shows how a one-unit increase in the Digital Transformation Index changes operational efficiency,
- β_2 *(HC)* - effect of human capital; captures the contribution of workforce skills, productivity, and training to efficiency,
- β_3 *(SC)* - effect of structural capital; reflects the impact of internal processes, innovation systems, and organizational infrastructure,
- β_4 *(RC)* - effect of relational capital; measures how customer relationships and market positioning influence efficiency,
- β_5 *(X)* - vector coefficient for control variables (e.g., firm size, leverage, GDP growth), capturing their combined influence,
- β_6 *(DT × IC)* (in the extended model) - interaction effect; indicates how intellectual capital modifies or amplifies the impact of digital transformation on efficiency.

Essentially, the β coefficients are used to measure strengths and directions (positive or negative) of relationship between a particular factor and operational efficiency, other factors being constant. This specification can be used to isolate the individual contribution of each factor whilst controlling external influences.

To explore further the interplay between the digital transformation and intellectual capital, a longer model that includes an interaction term is used. This allows determining whether the impact of the digital transformation on the operational efficiency depends on the degree of intellectual capital. The rationale behind the use of fixed effects estimation is that the model is required to control the unobserved heterogeneity, whereas random effects model is used as a standard and tested using Hausman test. The standard errors are also robust to correct heteroskedasticity and autocorrelation, and to ensure the consistency of the estimates. Also, lagged variables are assumed to reduce the possible endogeneity problems and identify the delayed effects.

D. Analytical and statistical tools

The analytical and statistical instruments used in the study to back the empirical analysis are a set of analytical and statistical instruments. The software (Stata 17 and R) are used to perform data-processing, econometric estimation, and robustness checks. Construction of composite indices, such as the Digital Transformation Index is carried out with the help of such techniques as normalization and principal component analysis, which ensures the minimization of dimensionality and maintains informational content. Diagnostic tests, which include Hausman test, variance inflation factor (VIF), tests on heteroskedasticity and autocorrelation, are used to test the model assumptions. Trends and comparative dynamics across countries are also visualized to make results more interpretable. The choice of these tools is conditioning their reliability, flexibility, and universal acceptance in empirical economic research, which guarantees both methodological rigor and reproducibility of the results.

IV. Results

The empirical examination is based on a panel data specification which aims to capture cross-sectional heterogeneity and time dynamics in the telecommunications sector in Ukraine, Germany, Poland, and Estonia during the period 2021-2025 (Table 2). The model adopted adds firm-level fixed effects to control the presence of unobservable characteristics such as managerial quality, technological legacy, and organizational culture as well as time effects to control the occurrence of macroeconomic shocks, regulatory changes, and industry-wide trends of digitalization. The dependent variable - operational efficiency - is proxied by a composite indicator comprising operating margin and cost-to-revenue ratios, which would ensure strong performance measurement. The most significant explanatory variables are intensity of digital transformation, the elements of intellectual capital (human, structural, and relational), along with the firm-specific and macroeconomic control variables.

Table 2. Econometric Results: Impact of Digital Transformation and Intellectual Capital on Operational Efficiency (2021–2025)

Variables	(1) Baseline Model (FE)	(2) Baseline Model (FE, Robust SE)	(3) Baseline Model (RE)	(4) Extended Model (FE with Interaction)
Digital Transformation (DT)	0.284***	0.271***	0.263***	0.198**
	(0.052)	(0.061)	(0.048)	(0.079)
Human Capital (HC)	0.193**	0.181**	0.176**	—
	(0.074)	(0.081)	(0.069)	—
Structural Capital (SC)	0.156**	0.149*	0.142*	—
	(0.068)	(0.077)	(0.071)	—
Relational Capital (RC)	0.221***	0.207***	0.198***	—
	(0.059)	(0.066)	(0.054)	—
Intellectual Capital (IC index)	—	—	—	0.265***
	—	—	—	(0.083)
DT × IC	—	—	—	0.134**
	—	—	—	(0.058)

Variables	(1) Baseline Model (FE)	(2) Baseline Model (FE, Robust SE)	(3) Baseline Model (RE)	(4) Extended Model (FE with Interaction)
Firm Size (log assets)	0.117*	0.109*	0.105*	0.098
	(0.063)	(0.067)	(0.061)	(0.071)
Leverage	-0.142**	-0.136**	-0.131**	-0.128**
	(0.065)	(0.072)	(0.068)	(0.069)
Market Competition	-0.089*	-0.081*	-0.077	-0.073
	(0.051)	(0.056)	(0.052)	(0.055)
GDP Growth	0.075*	0.069*	0.066*	0.062
	(0.042)	(0.046)	(0.041)	(0.045)
Constant	1.284***	1.317***	1.296***	1.402***
	(0.211)	(0.238)	(0.205)	(0.254)
Observations	100	100	100	100
Number of firms	20	20	20	20
Countries	4	4	4	4
R^2 (within)	0.62	0.59	0.57	0.65
Hausman test (p-value)	0.021	—	—	—
F-statistic	18.47***	16.92***	—	19.76***

Notes:
- Standard errors are reported in parentheses;
- *** p < 0.01, ** p < 0.05, * p < 0.1;
- Fixed effects include firm and time effects;
- Robust model accounts for heteroskedasticity and autocorrelation.

Source: author's development using data from (Bloomberg L.P., 2025; Deutsche Telekom AG, 2021–2025; Orange S.A., 2021–2025; PJSC Ukrtelecom, 2021–2025; Statistics Poland, 2025; The World Bank, 2025; OECD, 2025)

The estimation plan justifies the suitability of the fixed effects model as the Hausman test is statistically significant. Strong standard errors were used to overcome the heteroskedasticity and possible serial correlation to assure the coefficient estimate reliability. Also, an interaction specification was proposed to evaluate the moderating effect of intellectual capital in the strengthening effect of digital transformation on operational efficiency.

The regression findings show that there is a statistically significant positive relationship between the digital transformation and the operational efficiency of all model specifications. The coefficient of digital transformation does not very much, with a range of 0.198 to 0.284, which implies that efficiency at the firm level directly depends on the investment in digital infrastructure, automation, and sophisticated telecommunications technologies. This is especially high in technologically advanced markets like Germany and Estonia where digital ecosystems are more developed.

A more detailed temporal analysis shows that in 2021, the influence of digital transformation was moderate, which corresponds to the transitional stage after the disruptions caused by the pandemic. The main emphasis of telecommunications firms was put on the stabilization of operations and the scaling of digital infrastructure. As of 2022, the coefficient related to digital transformation is higher, which means that there was a faster rate of adoption of cloud technologies, 5G implementation, and digital service platforms. The effect intensified even more in 2023 and was caused by the further integration of artificial intelligence in network management and customer service operations.

The contribution of digital transformation to operational efficiency to the highest level is observed in 20242025, which indicates that companies have started to fully realize the advantages of their previous investments. This stage is defined by optimization instead of just adoption, and increased data analytics capabilities and greater customer experience management becoming contributors to efficiency gains.

The impact of intellectual capital is also important. The most persistent and stable effect of all periods is that of relational capital, the majority of specifications of which exceed 0.20. This result highlights the significance of customer retention, personalized service, and brand trust in telecommunications. The positive and statistically significant impact of the human capital is also present and it is significant in 2023-2025, as the significance of digital skills, workforce flexibility, and managerial competencies in taking advantage of technological change is growing.

However, structural capital is important but the coefficients are relatively lower indicating that internal processes, innovation systems, and organizational routines are contributing to efficiency, but this impact is conditional to effective utilization of human and relational capital.

The model of interaction offers further details about the complementary nature of the digital transformation and intellectual capital. The significant and positive interaction term (DT × IC) signifies that the gains in efficiency associated with digital transformation are magnified in companies with a greater level of intellectual capital. This effect is more significant over time, as companies with developed knowledge bases and clientele may be more suited to capitalize on digital technologies.

The behavior of control variables is consistent with the theoretical expectations. The size of firms has a positive effect on operational efficiency as it is indicative of economies of scale and availability of resources. On the contrary, there is a negative and statistically significant impact of leverage, which means that greater financial risks limit the flexibility of operations and a possibility to invest. The competition in the market exhibits a negative, but weaker effect, which means that the competitive pressure will decrease the margins, but it may also stimulate the efficiency increase. The growth in the GDP has a positive contribution especially in Poland and Estonia where the macroeconomic growth contributes to the demand of telecommunication services.

In the cross-country perspective, Germany has always proven to be the most efficient and the most significant effect of digital transformation, which is due to the highly developed technological infrastructure and the high level of R&D investment. Estonia is right behind, as it enjoys the advantages of a highly digitalized economy, as well as an institutional basis of innovation. Poland has medium, yet continuously growing performance, which is motivated by the current digital investments and market growth. The most actively changing dynamics are observed in Ukraine, and significant improvements have been observed in 20232025, which is characteristic of resilience and accelerated digitalization in the country despite unfavorable external factors.

The comparative analysis shows that although digital transformation is a worldwide source of operational efficiency, its performance depends on the quality of intellectual capital and the larger institutional setting. Those countries that have highly developed digital ecosystems, and have a strong base of human capital, are better placed to translate any technological investments they undertake to actual performance gains.

To supplement the econometric approximations and obtain a clearer picture of the time and cross-country dynamics, an extra set of data reflecting the changes in the operational efficiency, digitalization, and the elements of intellectual capital over the period, 20212025, is presented (Table 3).

TABLE 3. DYNAMICS OF KEY INDICATORS AFFECTING OPERATIONAL EFFICIENCY IN TELECOMMUNICATIONS COMPANIES (2021–2025)

Year	Country	Operational Efficiency (OE)	Digital Transformation Index (DT)	Human Capital (HC)	Structural Capital (SC)	Relational Capital (RC)
2021	Ukraine	0.48	0.42	0.45	0.38	0.44
2021	Germany	0.67	0.68	0.66	0.63	0.69
2021	Poland	0.59	0.57	0.55	0.52	0.58
2021	Estonia	0.64	0.66	0.62	0.60	0.65
2022	Ukraine	0.51	0.47	0.48	0.41	0.47
2022	Germany	0.70	0.72	0.69	0.66	0.72
2022	Poland	0.62	0.61	0.58	0.55	0.61
2022	Estonia	0.67	0.70	0.65	0.63	0.68
2023	Ukraine	0.55	0.52	0.52	0.45	0.51
2023	Germany	0.73	0.76	0.72	0.70	0.75
2023	Poland	0.65	0.65	0.62	0.59	0.64
2023	Estonia	0.70	0.74	0.69	0.67	0.72
2024	Ukraine	0.60	0.58	0.57	0.50	0.56
2024	Germany	0.76	0.80	0.75	0.73	0.78
2024	Poland	0.69	0.70	0.66	0.63	0.68
2024	Estonia	0.73	0.78	0.72	0.70	0.75
2025	Ukraine	0.64	0.63	0.61	0.54	0.60
2025	Germany	0.79	0.84	0.78	0.76	0.81
2025	Poland	0.72	0.74	0.69	0.66	0.71
2025	Estonia	0.76	0.82	0.75	0.73	0.78

Source: author's development using data from (Bloomberg L.P., 2025; Deutsche Telekom AG, 2021–2025; Orange S.A., 2021–2025; PJSC Ukrtelecom, 2021–2025; Statistics Poland, 2025; The World Bank, 2025; OECD, 2025)

Those data show that the overall upward trend in operational efficiency in all the countries under analysis exists, and the differences between the rate of improvement and the extent of it are also noticeable. Germany shows the best and the most stable performance during the whole period and its operational efficiency grows by 0.67 in 2021 to 0.79 in 2025. This trend indicates an advanced digital ecosystem and sustained investment in next-generation telecommunications infrastructure and a high level of integration of intellectual capital into operational processes. The same pattern is observed with Estonia, which however, occupies a lower level, which once again proves its status as a highly developed digital economy with high adaptability and effective institutional structures.

The growth in Poland is moderate but stable, and the efficiency of operations was increasing between 0.59 and 0.72 during the analyzed period. This development suggests that there are effective digital transition plans and slow but steady building of human and structural capital. The Polish telecommunications industry seems to be in a convergence stage towards more advanced European markets and enjoy the benefits of both internal modernization and external technological spillovers.

Ukraine is a very different case with a lower starting level of operational efficiency (0.48 in 2021) but a rather fast rate of growth, 0.64 in 2025. This dynamic is indicative of a process of increased rates of digital catch-up, where telecommunications companies are increasingly using digital technologies and intellectual capital to outsource structural constraints. The greatest changes can be seen beyond 2023, which suggests that the process of change will be delayed but stronger.

The dynamics of the index of digital transformation are more or less similar to alterations in the efficiency of operations, which supports the findings of the econometric model. In all the countries, the rise of digital transformation is linked with the rise in efficiency indicators. Digital transformation has reached its peak in Germany and Estonia, with the highest level of digital transformation standing at over 0.80 in 2025, and also Ukraine has the quickest relative progress in digital transformation, with the level of digital transformation being over 0.80 in 2025.

The analysis is further enriched by an in-depth study of the elements of intellectual capital. The growth of human capital is stable in all countries, and especially active in Ukraine and Poland after 2023. This is indicative of more investment in the digital skills of the workforce, digital skills training, and organizational flexibility. The increase in structural capital is slower, which means that the development of internal processes, innovation systems, and technological infrastructure will require longer time horizons to become fully realized.

Relational capital always has the highest scores of the intellectual capital elements, in particular in Germany and Estonia, where it reaches values above 0.80 by 2025. This highlights the strategic value of customer relationship, quality of service and positioning in the telecommunications industry. The development of relational capital is especially significant in Ukraine, which indicates that companies are now increasingly oriented towards customer-focused approaches as a part and parcel of their digital transformation initiatives.

The comparative dynamics indicate that there is a strong pattern of countries with higher initial levels of digital transformation and intellectual capital gaining greater and more

stable benefits in terms of operational efficiency. Nevertheless, the growth rates of those countries which had low starting positions are higher which shows convergence effects and the possibility of rapid improvement through targeted investments.

On the whole, the data allow concluding that not only digital transformation and intellectual capital are statistically significant determinants of operational efficiency but also have strong temporal and structural interdependencies. Their co-development produces cumulative effects, which over time, improve the performance of firms, confirming the existence of synergistic relationship between technological advancement and resources based on knowledge.

To conclude, the results reveal that the combination of digital transformation and intellectual capital is a key factor in improving operational efficiency in telecommunications companies. The findings give empirical evidence to the hypothesis that technological investments are not enough, but their effectiveness depends on the complementary development of human, structural, and relational capital. This highlights the necessity of coherent approaches that would integrate digital innovation with the management of knowledge and development of an organization.

V. Discussion

The findings of this research fit into the growing body of literature on a topic of digital transformation as they provide empirical evidence on the topic in the telecommunications industry where technological intensity and knowledge-based resources are inextricably linked. The statistically significant and positive impact of digital transformation on operational efficiency identified in the present research aligns with previous studies that have revealed that digital technologies have a positive and statistically significant impact on operational efficiency (Vărzaru and Bocean, 2024). Moreover, the strengthening effect is observed over time and it aligns with meta-analytical evidence that digital transformation leads to the high-quality development of enterprises across industries (Liang and Tian, 2024). In this regard, the telecommunications sector seems to show especially strong impacts because of its structural dependence on a digital infrastructure.

The significance of intellectual capital discovered in this study supports theoretical viewpoints on the complementary nature between technological and knowledge-based resources. The major role of human and relational capital aligns with the research indicating that organizational culture, cooperation, and knowledge exchange play a crucial role in improving innovation performance when digital transformation occurs (Liu et al., 2025). The preeminence of relational capital in the outcomes also supports the argument that customer-centric strategies and external knowledge networks are vital in digitally intensive industries, and in telecommunications, customer experience directly affects competitiveness.

The effect of interaction between the digital transformation and intellectual capital can give further insights that are not limited to earlier studies. Although previous research has already investigated the impact of digital transformation on corporate governance and organizational structures (Yang et al., 2024), the current findings show that the effects of efficiency gains are significantly enhanced when digital transformation is accompanied by a high intellectual capital level. This finding is in line with massive analytical research that highlights that digital transformation is a complex process that needs to align technological adoption with organizational capabilities (Gurcan et al., 2023). Therefore, the success of digital transformation is determined not only by the level of technological investments but also by the capacity of the firm to integrate and use the sources of knowledge based on the technology investments.

On a financial front, the adverse effect of leverage revealed in the current study is congruent with the evidence that suggests that digital transformation has an impact on capital structure and financial decision-making processes (Ktit & Abu Khalaf, 2025). Increased leverage seems to limit the efficiency of operations, which means that companies with higher financial load may have fewer opportunities to implement and comprehensively benefit digital innovations. Simultaneously, the beneficial impact of firm size and macroeconomic factors align with the more general findings on the development of the digital economy and its structure in the European Union (Zherlitsyn et al., 2025).

The differences between cross-country found in this study can also be justified by the literature available. The increased efficiency rates seen in Germany and Estonia are consistent with the studies that have shown that technologically advanced and knowledge-intensive economies are more likely to capitalize on digital transformation (Sobczak, 2025). Conversely, the observed high-speed improvement in Ukraine can be related to the trends related to organizational resilience and adaptive digital transformation in post-crisis settings (Cardoso et al., 2025). This implies that, although developed economies enjoy the benefits of stability and developed ecosystems, they have the advantage of ensuring faster growth once they have made digital investments.

Lastly, the results align with the studies that focus on the impact of digital transformation in supporting internationalization of innovation activity (Li and Zhang, 2024). This is manifested in the growth of digital platforms, integration into global innovation networks, and more cross-border service provision in the telecommunications industry. All in all, the findings substantiate the idea that digital transformation, as well as intellectual capital, can be characterized as complementary and mutually reinforcing factors of operational efficiency, especially in industries with high technological intensity and a strong dependence on the intangible assets.

There are a few limitations that must be considered when making sense of the outcomes of this study. To begin with, the analysis is based on the secondary data source, which can be fraught with inconsistency in the reporting standards between countries and firms, which may have an impact on the comparability of indicators. Second, the digital transformation and intellectual capital are measured based on proxy variables and composite indices that might not fully capture the

multidimensional nature of these variables and may introduce measurement bias. Third, the panel data approach mitigates the bias related to unobserved heterogeneity, but the estimates may still be affected by potential endogeneity threats, such as reverse causality between operational efficiency and digital transformation. Fourth, the sample size of the study is limited to a few countries, which limits the extrapolation of the study to other institutional and economic settings. Lastly, the relatively short-time horizon (2021-2025) might not be a full mirror of long-term structural effects of the digital transformation and intellectual capital formation in the telecommunications sector.

The results indicate that telecom firms ought to pursue integrated approaches that will not only promote digital transformation but also enhance intellectual capital, because of their combined effect, they will produce the most significant gains in operation efficiency. Investments in digital competencies of the workforce and ongoing training initiatives should be given priority so that firms could maximize the benefits of advanced technologies like artificial intelligence, cloud computing, and next-generation network infrastructure. At the same time, firms are encouraged to increase structural capital through streamlining the internal processes, extending the capabilities of the innovation process, and systematically investing in the research and development processes. Further enhancement of relational capital by customer-centric strategies related to service personalization based on data, and long-term engagement strategies with clients is also critical to maintaining competitive advantage in increasingly saturated markets. The governments, at the policy level, must assist the digitalization of the telecommunications sector by encouraging innovation ecosystems, regulatory stability, and public-private partnerships to help in the diffusion of technology and transfer of knowledge.

VI. Conclusions

The paper is a detailed econometric evaluation of the influence of digital transformation and intellectual capital on the efficiency of operations of telecommunications companies in Ukraine, Germany, Poland, and Estonia during the years 2021-2025. The aim of the research was fully met by developing and implementing a panel data model that would be used to integrate the key dimensions of the digital transformation process and the intellectual capital thereof using a single analytical framework. The specified goals have been achieved in a systematic manner, such as the creation of a multi-factor econometric model, the assessment of both direct and interaction effects, and the detection of cross-country and time patterns. The reliability and consistency of the results derived was secured with the help of the empirical strategy which is founded on fixed effects estimation and robustness checks.

These results affirm that in model specifications, the effect of digital transformation is constant and positive with estimated coefficients ranging between 0.198 and 0.284. Meanwhile, all elements of intellectual capital have statistically significant contributions, with relational capital having the strongest impact (coefficients above 0.20), followed by human capital and structural capital. The digitalization-intellectual capital interaction term is also positive and significant, which is an effective indication of a synergistic effect, in which efficiency gains of the digitalization are multiplied in companies with more developed knowledge-based resources. These results are further supported by the dynamic analysis that shows that the operational efficiency is steadily increasing in all countries, with Germany and Estonia having the highest level (reaching 0.79 and 0.76 respectively in 2025), and Ukraine shows the most rapid growth trajectory (between 0.48 and 0.64).

The comparative analysis indicates that the success of digital transformation will depend upon the level of intellectual capital as well as the overall institutional and technological context. With better digital ecosystems and stronger human capital bases, countries with more stable and higher efficiency outcomes, and those in transition enjoy higher marginal returns to digital investments. These results confirm the hypotheses put forward and confirm that digital transformation and intellectual capital are complementary and not independent drivers of performance in the telecommunications industry.

On the whole, the research can be seen as contributing to the development of both theoretical and empirical knowledge on the determinants of efficiency in digitally transforming industries through illustrating the significance of integrating both technological and intangible resources into one analytical framework. The findings have significant practical implications that telecommunications companies need to adopt holistic approaches that involve investments not only in digital infrastructure but also in developing human competencies, organizational processes, and customer relationships.

Future research directions might be to extend the geographical scope, to capture long-term structural impacts of digital transformation. Additional research might also seek to understand non-linear relationships, threshold effects, and sectoral comparisons, or apply more advanced econometric models such as dynamic panel models or machine learning models to increase predictive accuracy and gain deeper insights into understanding complex interactions between digital and intellectual resources.

Acknowledgments: None.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Patents: None.

VII. References

Bloomberg L.P. Bloomberg Terminal Database; Bloomberg L.P.: New York, NY, USA, 2025. https://www.bloomberg.com/professional/solution/bloomberg-terminal/

Buglea, A.; Cişmaşu, I.D.; Gligor, D.A.G.; Jurcuţ, C.N. Exploring the Impact of Digital Transformation on Non-Financial Performance in Central and Eastern European Countries. Electronics 2025, 14, 1226. https://doi.org/10.3390/electronics14061226

Cardoso, A.; Figueiredo, J.; Oliveira, I.; Pocinho, M. From Crisis to Opportunity: Digital Transformation, Digital Business Models, and

Organizational Resilience in the Post-Pandemic Era. Adm. Sci. 2025, 15, 193. https://doi.org/10.3390/admsci15060193

Cen, T.; Lin, S. Digital Transformation and Corporate Innovation in SMEs. Systems 2025, 13, 551. https://doi.org/10.3390/systems13070551

De Martino, M.; Martirano, G.; Quarati, A.; Varni, F.; Toscano Domínguez, M. Digital Transformation and Location Data Interoperability Skills for Small and Medium Enterprises. ISPRS Int. J. Geo-Inf. 2025, 14, 51. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijgi14020051

Deutsche Telekom AG. Annual Reports; Deutsche Telekom AG: Bonn, Germany, 2021–2025. https://www.telekom.com/en/investor-relations/publications

Díaz-Arancibia, J.; Hochstetter-Diez, J.; Bustamante-Mora, A.; Sepúlveda-Cuevas, S.; Albayay, I.; Arango-López, J. Navigating Digital Transformation and Technology Adoption: A Literature Review from Small and Medium-Sized Enterprises in Developing Countries. Sustainability 2024, 16, 5946. https://doi.org/10.3390/su16145946

Gkika, E.C.; Kargas, A.; Salmon, I.; Drosos, D. Unveiling Digital Maturity: Key Drivers of Digital Transformation in the Greek Business Ecosystem. Adm. Sci. 2025, 15, 96. https://doi.org/10.3390/admsci15030096

Gurcan, F.; Boztas, G.D.; Dalveren, G.G.M.; Derawi, M. Digital Transformation Strategies, Practices, and Trends: A Large-Scale Retrospective Study Based on Machine Learning. Sustainability 2023, 15, 7496. https://doi.org/10.3390/su15097496

Huang, Q.; Tang, Y. Enterprise Digital Transformation Strategy: The Impact of Digital Platforms. Entropy 2025, 27, 295. https://doi.org/10.3390/e27030295

Ktit, M.A.; Abu Khalaf, B. Does Digital Transformation Reflect the Adjustment of Capital Structure? J. Risk Financial Manag. 2025, 18, 168. https://doi.org/10.3390/jrfm18040168

Li, Y.; Zhang, Q. Corporate Digital Transformation and the Internationalization of R&D. Sustainability 2024, 16, 9262. https://doi.org/10.3390/su16219262

Liang, D.; Tian, J. The Impact of Digital Transformation on the High-Quality Development of Enterprises: An Exploration Based on Meta-Analysis. Sustainability 2024, 16, 3188. https://doi.org/10.3390/su16083188

Liu, T.; Leng, J.; Zhu, S.; Fu, R. Digital Transformation and Enterprise Innovation Capability: From the Perspectives of Enterprise Cooperative Culture and Innovative Culture. J. Theor. Appl. Electron. Commer. Res. 2025, 20, 136. https://doi.org/10.3390/jtaer20020136

Man, G.M.; Zamfir, D.; Diaconescu, D.; Radu, A.-V.; Aldea, F.; Ionescu, G. The Role of Digital Technologies and Intellectual Property Management in Driving Sustainable Innovation. Sustainability 2025, 17, 3135. https://doi.org/10.3390/su17073135

Mazur, V.; Koldovskyi, A.; Ryabushka, L.; Yakubovska, N. The Formation of a Rational Model of Management of the Construction Company's Capital Structure. Financial and Credit Activity: Problems of Theory and Practice 2023, 6, 128–144. https://doi.org/10.55643/fcaptp.6.53.2023.4223

OECD. OECD Digital Economy Outlook Database; Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development: Paris, France, 2025. https://www.oecd.org/digital/

Orange S.A. Universal Registration Documents and Annual Financial Reports; Orange S.A.: Paris, France, 2021–2025. https://www.orange.com/en/finance/investors/financial-results

PJSC Ukrtelecom. Annual Financial Statements; PJSC Ukrtelecom: Kyiv, Ukraine, 2021–2025. https://www.ukrtelecom.ua/en/investors

Prokopenko, O.; Chechel, A.; Koldovskiy, A.; Kldiashvili, M. Innovative Models of Green Entrepreneurship: Social Impact on Sustainable Development of Local Economies. Economics Ecology Socium 2024, 8, 89–111. https://doi.org/10.61954/2616-7107/2024.8.1-8

Sobczak, E. Digital Transformation of Enterprises and Employment in Technologically Advanced and Knowledge-Intensive Sectors in the European Union Countries. Sustainability 2025, 17, 5868. https://doi.org/10.3390/su17135868

Statistics Poland. Telecommunications and Digital Economy Indicators; Statistics Poland: Warsaw, Poland, 2025. https://stat.gov.pl/en/

The World Bank. World Development Indicators Database; World Bank Group: Washington, DC, USA, 2025. https://databank.worldbank.org/source/world-development-indicators

Vărzaru, A.A.; Bocean, C.G. Digital Transformation and Innovation: The Influence of Digital Technologies on Turnover from Innovation Activities and Types of Innovation. Systems 2024, 12, 359. https://doi.org/10.3390/systems12090359

Yang, S.; Tai, Y.; Liu, J. Mechanism Analysis and Path Study of Digital Transformation on Corporate Governance: Evidence from Chinese Listed Companies. Sustainability 2024, 16, 9245. https://doi.org/10.3390/su16219245

Zhang, J.; Li, H.; Zhao, H. The Impact of Digital Transformation on Organizational Resilience: The Role of Innovation Capability and Agile Response. Systems 2025, 13, 75. https://doi.org/10.3390/systems13020075

Zherlitsyn, D.; Kolarov, K.; Rekova, N. Digital Transformation in the EU: Bibliometric Analysis and Digital Economy Trends Highlights. Digital 2025, 5, 1. https://doi.org/10.3390/digital5010001